Greening hotels: Does motivating hotel employees promote in-role green performance?

The role of culture

Abstract: In the new global economy, environmentally friendly policies have become a central issue for firms. The increasing attention given to the benefits of those policies has prompted research on the development of environmental management systems that encourage employees to engage in environmental activities. However, there is limited evidence concerning the relationship between employee motivation and employees' in-role green performance, in addition to the potential impact of culture and organizational citizenship behavior for the environment. Through a quantitative study of 301 managerial and non-managerial employees working in three- to five-star hotels, this study makes a major contribution by demonstrating that practices aimed at motivating hotel employees (e.g. green reward and performance management) are significantly linked with employees' in-role green performance and organizational citizenship behavior for the environment. The findings also indicate that the influence of green rewards on employees' in-role green performance and organizational citizenship behaviors for the environment is stronger when hotels are managed by Western corporations. Conversely, the study showed that the effect of green performance management on these two dependent variables is not moderated by culture. This article supports efforts to widen national cultural perspectives in the development and application of green human resource management.

**Keywords:** employee in-role green performance, motivating hotel employees, culture, green reward, green performance management, organizational citizenship behavior for the environment.

#### Introduction

In recent years, companies have become more aware of the importance of environmental protection due to the enhanced environmental pressure from governments and consumers (Pham, Tučková, & Jabbour, 2019a). In order to achieve a successful implementation of green strategy, the role of human resource management (HRM), including motivating employees' green behavior, is essential. The integration of environmental management and HRM, namely green human resource management (GHRM) (Renwick, Redman, & Maguire, 2013), has been embraced by a number of scholars, including those in the hospitality industry (e.g. Pham et al., 2019a). In hospitality, environmental management practices have been increasingly adopted by hotels (Tritto, 2020), because these businesses are major actors in causing negative environmental impacts, due to their extensive use of natural resources (Molina-Azorín, Claver-Cortés, Pereira-Moliner, & Tarí, 2009; Chan & Hawkins, 2012). In addition, along with the expected benefits associated with green behavior (e.g. cost, competitive advantage), external pressures (e.g. from customers) have encouraged hotels to consider green practices (Alonso-Almeida, Robin, Pedroche, & Astorga, 2017).

Researchers have focused on GHRM practices, especially motivating employees through green rewards (REW) and green performance management (PEM), to investigate the importance of these factors to the sustainable development of organizations (e.g. Saeed, Afsar, Hafeez, et al., 2018). Renwick et al. (2013) state that motivating employees involves both REW and PEM, and is one of three key components in developing GHRM strategies for organizations. Scholars have recognized such green practices as critical elements for building green strategy, since the successful implementation of policies aimed at motivating employees can stimulate individuals' environmental commitment and organizational citizenship behavior for the environment (OCBE) (Pinzone, Guerci, Lettieri, & Redman, 2016), as well as environmental knowledge, skills and awareness (Jabbour, Santos, & Nagano, 2010).

Despite the relevance of GHRM, three key emergent issues must be addressed. First, the existing research has concentrated on how REW and PEM influence extra-role green behavior, such as OCBE (e.g. Pinzone et al., 2016) and firm's environmental performance (e.g. Masri & Jaaron, 2017), rather than employee's environmental performance; for instance, employee inrole green performance (EIGP). Following Janssen and Yperen (2004), EIGP may be viewed as a set of environmental actions specified and required by the organization and outlined in the job description. For example, many companies ask their employees to behave "green", which may translate into jobs that prohibit employees to pour toxic waste into the water systems or where employees have to manage hazardous material in accordance with organizational policies as well as government regulations (Dumont, Shen, & Deng et al., 2017). Similar to the hospitality sector, hotel employees are carefully monitored in a variety of activities aimed at cutting waste, reducing water usage, and saving energy as important tasks. In the literature, few scholars (e.g. Dumont et al., 2017) have empirically investigated EIGP and its antecedents, for example REW and PEM policies in management, and in particular, hospitality management. It can be argued that in addition to achieving person-organization fit (Hoffman & Woehr, 2006) and Ability-Motivation-Opportunity (AMO) (Appelbaum, Bailey, Berg, & Kalleberg, 2000), HRM policies aimed at helping employees "fit" into the workplace also motivate their involvement in green activities. This process may in turn influence employees' green performance, through reward and performance management systems. GHRM practices, including REW and PEM, may boost employees' environmental knowledge, skills and awareness (Jabbour et al., 2010), green commitment and behavior in order to meaningfully stimulate environmental activities (Ren, Tang, & Jackson, 2018). Such practices help employees better understand their role and responsibility to be actively involved in green activities and to handle environmental problems effectively in the workplace, consequently promoting green performance. Furthermore, different GHRM practices may have various

impacts on employee outcomes. For instance, Saeed et al.'s (2018) study indicates that green training has a stronger influence on pro-environmental behaviors than other practices (e.g. empowerment, reward). Thus, investigating the separate effects of each practice (REW and PEM) on EIGP is necessary to contribute to the literature, especially to the body of knowledge on hospitality. Although Dumont et al. (2017) and Zhang, Luo, Zhang and Zhao (2019) explore the linkage between GHRM and employee in-role green behavior, their findings do not shed light on the separate effects of each individual GHRM practice.

Moreover, authors have called for papers to investigate the role of cultural perspectives (e.g. Western and local) in the application of GHRM (Ren et al., 2018), as organizations are likely to be impacted by the context in which they operate. Indeed, Hofstede (1983) emphasizes that national culture may constrain organizational culture. Therefore, even if a parent company's national culture is transferred to overseas subsidiaries through the firms' organizational culture (Lau & Ngo, 2001), the latter is likely to be impacted by the host country's national culture. Thus, one may find organizational culture differences between companies managed by international and domestic organizations, even in the same environment. Furthermore, institutional theory complements cultural approaches by suggesting that firms may be exposed to similar management practices when they are embedded in the same business system (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983). More specifically, Pham et al. (2019a) propose a study that explores potential differences in the GHRM system between hotels managed by Western and local companies in Vietnam. This approach aligns with Ryan's (2018) recommendation regarding the relevance of national culture differences for future investigations in the tourism sector. Despite this, the suggestion has not yet been addressed by the extant literature in hospitality management.

Finally, based on the AMO framework, HRM policies such as reward and performance management systems have the ability to boost employees' motivation and effort, which in turn

influence employee performance (Jiang, Lepak, Han, et al., 2012a). In the green context, authors have examined the connection between GHRM practices and OCBE (e.g. Pinzone et al., 2016; Luu, 2019). As previously mentioned, although Dumont et al. (2017) and Zhang et al. (2019) provide empirical evidence to support the direct effect of GHRM on employee inrole green behavior, evidence on the separate impacts of REW and PEM on EIGP is still lacking, especially in the hospitality sector. According to the AMO framework, an emerging research gap concerns the mediating effect of OCBE on the link between such green practices and EIGP, which remains largely neglected by the extant literature. Our study highlights this important gap because we argue that green policies aimed at motivating employees may boost their voluntary behavior in environmental activities, contributing to the individual achievement of environmental tasks.

In sum, the existing literature lacks studies which explore (1) the relationship between REW and PEM (separately) with EIGP; (2) the mediating role of OCBE in these relationships; and (3) the role of cultural perspectives (Western and local) in relationships between green practices (REW and PEM), EIGP and OCBE.

To address the uncertain status of the existing research on GHRM in the hospitality industry, our work aims to attain a better understanding of how green practices which motivate employees (e.g. REW and PEM) may enhance EIGP and OCBE. Additionally, this study provides an insight into the role of culture (Western and local) in the relationship between such green practices, EIGP and OCBE. The research questions include RQ1: What is the influence of motivating employees on EIGP and OCBE?; RQ2: What is the mediating role of OCBE regarding the influence of motivating employees on EIGP?; and RQ3: What is the role of culture (Western and local) in influencing the motivation of employees for EIGP and OCBE?

## Theoretical framework and development of hypotheses

# Motivating employees, OCBE, and EIGP

Motivating employees is seen as one of three core components of GHRM strategy and covers topics related to REW and PEM (Renwick et al., 2013). REW refers to a system of monetary and non-monetary workplace rewards for those who contribute to the firm's environmental management goals (Jabbour et al., 2010). PEM involves policies used by organizations which aim to monitor and evaluate employee performance and advancements made towards attaining environmental goals (Govindarajulu & Daily 2004).

OCBE is a component of organizational citizenship behavior (OCB) (Raineri & Paillé, 2016). It can be understood as "individual and discretionary social behaviors not explicitly recognized by the formal reward system and contributing to improve the effectiveness of environmental management of organizations" (Boiral, 2009, p.223). Individuals' discretionary behaviors include green initiatives; for example, sharing knowledge to protect the environment and proposing suggestions to reduce pollution (Boiral, 2009).

Employee in-role performance can be understood as those activities specified and required by the job description and thus mandated, monitored and rewarded by the organization (Janssen & Yperen, 2004). In the green context, Paillé and Meja-Morelos (2019) define employee environmental performance via evaluations not only for preventing environmental crises and complying with environmental regulations, but also for educating others and the public about the environment. However, our study concerns EIGP, which focuses on the employees' green role, as specified in their job description. Following Janssen and Yperen (2004), this study extends employee in-role performance into the environmental perspective, meaning that EIGP can be considered to cover those environmental actions specified and required by the organization and outlined in the job description, and which are mandated, monitored and rewarded by the organization.

## Links between motivating employees and EIGP

Following the AMO framework, HRM practices aim to enhance employees' motivation, thus affecting firms' organizational performance. To provide an insight into the HRM-performance relationship, it is necessary to explore the role of employees, since employee performance may be a key element in the link between HRM and organizational performance (Dyer & Reeves, 1995). Jiang, Lepak, Hu, and Baer (2012b) point to employee performance as a reasonable outcome that is directly linked with HRM practices, including reward and performance management. Similarly, "person-organization fit" refers to the compatibility between an employee's attributes and their organization, which influences individual behavior (Hoffman and Woehr, 2006). Based on this theory, HRM policies aimed at helping employees to "fit" with the workplace, for example rewards and performance management, could affect employee outcomes (Lauver & Kristof-Brown, 2001). Therefore, two such practices may bring benefits to employees by improving employee performance; namely, encouraging employees to perform tasks actively and effectively and providing feedback to employees to minimize mistakes at work.

In the hospitality context, few studies have examined the separate impacts of GHRM practices on EIGP. Based on the AMO and person-organization fit frameworks, both REW and PEM policies may encourage employees to become more involved in environmental activities and help them understand the organizations' green goals, which play an essential role in directly predicting EIGP. Indeed, when hotels are focused on REW, and when monetary (e.g. bonuses, cash) and non-monetary rewards (e.g. recognition) are communicated clearly to employees, employees tend to better understand the tangible and intangible benefits embedded within environmental tasks in the workplace. This continuously motivates and stimulates

environmental responsibilities based on employee commitment (Govindarajulu & Daily, 2004), which in turn enhances green performance.

Similarly, setting up a PEM system may boost employees' environmental understanding of, for example, the environmental targets and responsibilities of each employee. This helps to align individual behaviors with the hotel's environmental objectives (Guerci, Longoni, & Luzzini, 2016) and encourages employees to contribute to the hotel's green activities (Renwick et al., 2013). In turn, employees learn how to avoid environmental mistakes in order to meet environment-related requirements from their managers. For instance, hotel employees may enrich their environmental knowledge, skills and abilities when they regularly receive green feedback from managers (Pham et al., 2019a). This is necessary to increase employees' green understanding, which helps them to actively address environmental problems, such as how to reduce and recycle waste, and to use water and electricity effectively. EIGP is, therefore, enhanced. Consequently, we expect such practices to emerge as important elements in predicting EIGP. We hypothesize that:

H1a: REW positively and significantly influences EIGP.

**H1b:** PEM positively and significantly influences EIGP.

### The role of OCBE

Scholars have debated whether OCB should be a function of policies that aim to reward and monitor employees. Some argue that extra-role behaviors, for example OCB, are voluntary activities that are not a part of employees' tasks, and should be recognized by the reward system (Organ, 1988). The competing pressures of work may lead employees to feel overworked, resulting in difficulties with work-life balance; hence it can be challenging to improve employees' willingness to engage in OCB. Besides, organizations usually informally encourage their employees to participate in green behaviors, rather than setting up a system to

appraise such behaviors. Consequently, even though organizations may invest significantly in reward and performance management systems, the question as to whether such systems boost discretionary behavior or not is still under debate. Therefore, from a traditional viewpoint, HRM policies such as those aimed at monitoring and rewarding employees may not be necessary to encourage employees' OCB.

However, this argument may be somewhat misleading. According to Jiang et al. (2012b), social exchange theory suggests that if employees perceive benefits to their organization's actions, they may feel obligated to reciprocate and be inclined to exert more effort in the workplace. With HRM practices (e.g. reward and performance management), when employees have positive perceptions of organizational support for such practices, they are likely to express increased affective commitment based on the norm of reciprocity, which in turn influences employees' work behaviors (Kehoe & Wright, 2013). Additionally, person-organization fit may help explain direct connections between HRM practices and employees' voluntary behavior. These behaviors may be a function of the fit between employees and their work context, through the development of reward and performance management systems. Therefore, such systems could be used to encourage individuals to effectively perform both in-role and extra-role tasks. Schnake and Dumler (1997) also suggest that managers who hope to stimulate employees' OCB should reward their workers in order to involve them in these behaviors.

GHRM practices (e.g. REW and PEM) may be interpreted as aspects of environmental support from the organization, consequently these practices may be factors in predicting employees' green behavior (e.g. OCBE). For instance, Paillé, Boiral, and Chen (2013) highlight the impact of environmental management practices on OCBE. We argue that employees may perceive such practices as benefits which help align their behaviors with the organization's green goals and motivate green commitment at work. As a consequence, individuals may wish to reciprocate by discretionarily changing their environmental behaviors

with the aim of improving environmental effectiveness. For hotels, when they concentrate on developing REW systems (e.g. bonus, recognition), which are regarded as 'goodwill' signals from the hotel, employees are likely to feel respected and recognized and understand the green goals of their organization. This may lead to a positive perception toward the hotel's policies, which may boost employees' environmental commitment at work (Pham, Tučková, & Phan, 2019b) and encourage them to reciprocate. In turn, this motivates and stimulates employees to make more of an effort at work. In fact, Renwick et al. (2013) suggest that environmental rewards and recognition (e.g. daily praise) are important factors that can bring a number of benefits for companies, because this practice may encourage employees who are willing to generate eco-initiatives, an aspect of OCBE.

Similar with PEM, this practice helps guide hotel employees in aligning their behaviors with the hotel's green goals (Govindarajulu & Daily 2004). Indeed, setting up such a system provides employees with clear information about their role in green activities and solidifies their environmental responsibilities, through receiving feedback and appraisals. Based on green feedback and information from managers and customers, hotel employees may learn the necessary green knowledge and skills to handle environmental issues themselves. Also, employees can recognize and react to what needs to change in order to avoid a negative impact on the environment. In terms of empirical evidence, Pham et al. (2019a) suggest that when hotels pay attention to developing PEM systems, employees are encouraged to become involved in OCBE. Saeed et al. (2018) also demonstrate that the effective implementation of both REW and PEM policies, as two of the most important GHRM practices, can enhance voluntary green behavior. Thus, our related hypotheses are that:

**H1c:** REW positively and significantly influences OCBE.

**H1d:** PEM positively and significantly influences OCBE.

Following AMO theory, Jiang et al. (2012a) argue that individual performance is a function of motivation and effort (e.g. OCB) and may be facilitated by HRM practices, including reward and performance management policies. According to the social exchange perspective, Ogbonnaya and Valizade (2018) state that HRM practices (e.g. reward and performance management) may provide signals about management's desire to motivate the workforce, and employees may perceive these signals as 'goodwill' from an organization, thus contributing to improving performance. However, scholars argue that OCB represents an employee's voluntary commitment within the company and is not formally required by the organization (Organ, 1988), and thus that it is illogical to assume that employee in-role performance depends on their OCB. On the other hand, we argue that through voluntary behaviors in the workplace, employees may better understand the company's functioning because they gain more knowledge of the big picture and purpose of the organization, resulting in enhanced employee job performance. An empirical study by Bommer, Dierdorff, and Rubin (2007) indicates the importance of stimulating employees' OCB to enhance their in-role performance. Thus, employees' OCB can be boosted by HRM polices (e.g., reward and performance management), which may continuously affect employee in-role performance. Based on these arguments, in the green context, GHRM practices aimed at motivating employees may be expected to boost EIGP through employees' discretionary efforts, such as OCBE.

As discussed above, effective implementation of REW policy in hotels can help employees feel more environmental responsibility, which in turn stimulates them to consider potential ramifications of their actions which may affect the environment and to actively participate in green events at work. Consequently, employees may behave in ways that minimize the negative impact of their organization on the environment, as well as meet the green requirements of their job. Thus, OCBE becomes an important element in mediating the connection between REW

and EIGP. In line with this reasoning, PEM is an important practice to align employees' behavior (e.g. OCBE) with the green goals of organizations (Guerci et al., 2016). Previous studies have demonstrated the importance of PEM policy in boosting OCBE in hotels (e.g. Pham et al., 2019a). When hotel employees understand how to behave proactively to protect the environment, they voluntarily apply the green knowledge, skills and experience they have gained from the feedback of guests and managers to solve environmental issues. Consequently, these employees' discretionary green behaviors positively enhance their performance in implementing green tasks (Paillé & Meija-Morelos, 2019). EIGP is, therefore, increased. Thus, we expect that the connection between PEM and EIGP will be mediated by OCBE. We hypothesize that:

H1e: REW has an indirect effect on EIGP via OCBE.

H1f: PEM has an indirect effect on EIGP via OCBE.

# The role of culture (Western and local)

The context in which an organization operates is instrumental in gaining a better understanding of organizational phenomena. The operational environment can differ between countries in various aspects, and institutional and cultural perspectives provide useful lens to examine organizations and individuals. Based on the institutional perspective (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983), firms operating in the same environment tend to behave similarly since they are exposed to the same institutional actors and have a need to gain legitimacy. This means that different companies are likely to adopt a similar set of business management practices if they are operating in the same country. From a cultural perspective, one expects national culture to underpin individuals' basic assumptions and shared meanings about the world around them. As such, national culture may be one of the main factors leading to variations in organizational culture (Johns, 2006). This is in line with Hofstede's (1983) argument, which states that

organizational culture may be constrained by national culture. Consequently, multinational companies must consider the extent to which their organizational culture may be impacted by the host country's national culture and whether they should localize their business practices.

Researchers have supported the roles of institutional and cultural theories in examining multinationals' business practices. In this paper, we argue for a perspective that moves beyond the cultural perspective to emphasize the essential role of a unique organizational culture that is transferred from a firm's headquarters to overseas subsidiaries. Indeed, the cultural perspective has been applied in HRM research to study organizational operations in Westernowned or -managed subsidiaries of multinational companies (e.g. Hoang, Rao Hill, Lu, & Freeman, 2018). According to Lau and Ngo (2001), the parent organizations' national culture has an influence on the operation and management decisions of subsidiaries in other countries. The beliefs and values of the parent organization are transferred to overseas subsidiaries and affect organizational systems and employees' values. Thus, the cultural values of both organizations and individuals within foreign companies operating in Vietnam – especially Western companies – may be affected by the culture of the organization's headquarters. For instance, Hoang et al. (2018) state that Vietnamese employees working in Western companies in Vietnam may learn and absorb the national culture and values of their parent company. They also suggest that ownership type (Western and local) is an important factor, which is expected to affect an organizations' HRM system and operation as well as employee behavior.

Our study focuses on the hospitality industry in Vietnam, where hotels have been managed either by local companies or by international hospitality corporations originating in Western countries (e.g. Marriott, Accor). Therefore, two distinct cultural influences –Western and local – dominate hotels in Vietnam. In this paper, we refer specifically to national culture and organizational culture in some instances as well as to a broader notion of cultural perspective associated with firm ownership or management as Western or local. Regarding the

environmental aspect, we have found no published empirical studies focusing on the moderating effect of culture in the application of GHRM in either Western or local companies. However, Witt and Stahl (2016) have discussed the connections between manager-oriented corporate social responsibility and national cultural perspectives. They emphasize that business leaders from national cultures with strong power distance, for example, Vietnam, may be less likely to enact behaviors linked with responsible orientations (e.g. concern for environmental issues, community) than managers impacted by cultures with low power distance. In addition, Hoang et al. (2018) state that Western companies are more individualistic, and thus have a closer fit with interventions at the individual level (e.g. employee rewards and autonomy), whereas companies from emerging Asian markets, such as Vietnam, tend to be more collectivistic, group-oriented, and thus have a better fit with task/performance-oriented or group interventions (e.g. performance management and appraisals). This is consistent with Lau and Ngo's (2001) arguments about cultural perspective in HRM.

Consequently, this leads to different priorities for applying GHRM policies between hotels managed by Western and local companies, which in turn influence individuals' green output, including OCBE and EIGP. In terms of REW, at hotels managed by Western companies, employees may learn and adopt socially and environmentally responsible behaviors because of Western managers. Therefore, individual-oriented green policies (e.g. reward policy) are easily translated to all local employees. This is necessary to stimulate employees to concentrate on green voluntary behavior at work, as well as to improve their performance in green tasks. In line with the above reasoning, a further step is to examine the role of culture in PEM's effect on EIGP and OCBE. Pham et al.'s (2019a) study in Vietnam suggests that PEM has been implemented more often by local-run hotels than by Western-run hotels. As argued above, since employees working in hotels with local cultures are likely to be monitored by supervisors, managers or even customers, they might attempt to actively change their green behaviors (e.g.

OCBE) to fit with the organization's green goals, and this may motivate them to meet green requirements. Thus, we hypothesize that:

H2a&c: Culture (Western and local) moderates the effect of GHRM practices aimed at motivating employees on EIGP and OCBE, such that the effect of REW on EIGP (H2a) and OCBE (H2c) is higher in Western-managed hotels than local-managed hotels.

H2b&d: Culture (Western and local) moderates the effect of GHRM practices aimed at motivating employees on EIGP and OCBE, such that the influence of PEM on EIGP (H2b) and OCBE (H2d) is greater in local-managed hotels than Westernmanaged hotels.

#### **INSERT FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE**

## Methodology

#### Research design

Our research followed a deductive approach, which included a qualitative study to help develop and improve the quantitative questionnaire. The following step consisted of a quantitative study aimed at testing proposed hypotheses. With regard to our sample, respondents were both managerial and non-managerial employees working in three- to five-star hotels in Vietnam. Such hotels are more likely to be involved in environmental practices. This is consistent with Molina-Azorín et al. (2009), who state that environmental problems have been considered by many hotels, especially three- to five-star hotels, because of the industry's negative impact on nature and the environment. To ensure reliability, respondents were directly involved in environmental activities and had at least one year of work experience in such hotels, as they must be able to understand the hotel's environmental tasks and activities and provide reliable information for the study. Thus, we chose employees working in various departments, such as

housekeeping, front office, food and beverages (F&B), administration (or HR) and maintenance for data collection.

The original questionnaire, written in English, was translated into Vietnamese by two native and bilingual researchers, and was then translated back into English. Due to the quantitative nature of the research, the questionnaire contained mainly closed questions, using a five-point Likert-type (Agree-Disagree) scale. Along with a covering letter, these questionnaires were provided to employees either by hard copy or e-mail. We contacted all three- to five-star hotels in Vietnam (880 hotels) in order to request their agreement to distribute these questionnaires to relevant employees, with 127 hotels allowing us to collect this data. For each hotel, we ensured that the questionnaires were answered separately by both managerial and non-managerial employees in order to reduce common method bias.

Among the constructs of the questionnaire, data on REW, PEM, OCBE and cultural perspectives were collected during the first round (Period 1), while EIGP was completed during the second round (Period 2). All responses collected in Period 1 were analyzed based on coding. In this stage, from 508 questionnaires sent to respondents (two for managerial employees and two for non-managerial employees within each hotel), we successfully received 375 completed responses. After carefully checking the returned questionnaires, we eliminated those which were invalid due to reasons such as missing data or major differences between managerial and non-managerial employees' evaluation of REW and PEM. A total of 355 individuals completed the survey to a usable level. At the end of the data collection process, we obtained their agreement to allow us to carefully store personal information (e.g., telephone number, e-mail), with the aim of contacting them again in the second round. In Period 2, these individuals were invited to respond to our questionnaire concerning EIGP, but only 301 respondents ultimately provided valid feedback at this stage. According to Hair, Hult, Ringle, and Sarstedt's (2014) guidelines, the sample size of 301 is appropriate for this work. Specifically, 165 (54.8%) and

136 (45.2%) employees worked at hotels managed by local and Western companies, respectively.

In this study, the respondents consisted of 184 females (61.1%) and 117 males (38.9%). The participants came from a wide range of departments: the most prevalent were F&B and housekeeping, with 87 (28.9%) and 80 (26.6%) respondents respectively, followed by maintenance (51 employees – 16.9%), administration/HR (43 employees – 14.3%), and front office (40 employees – 13.3%). Of the sample, most respondents (255 - 84.7%) had under ten years of work experience at their present hotel, with only 46 respondents (15.3%) having ten years or more. Regarding the hotels' length of operation, there were 157 (52.2%) and 111 (36.9%) respondents working at hotels which had been established for less than ten years and from 10 to 20 years, respectively, while 33 participants (10.9%) worked in hotels which had been in operation for over 20 years.

In the data analysis stage, we first applied the SMART-PLS software to assess the reliability and validity of the measurements. According to Hair, Ringle, & Sarstedt (2011), PLS-SEM may be a suitable method for researchers because it offers advantages such as fewer identification issues, data with much smaller as well as larger sample sizes, and compatibility with a complex structural model and many constructs and relationships. By doing a critical review of applying PLS-SEM in HRM papers published in leading journals, Ringle, Sarstedt, Mitchell, & Gudergan (2020) revealed that PLS-SEM is an increasingly important method for empirical HRM studies. Next, the PROCESS package was used to test the direct and indirect effects of both REW and PEM practices on OCBE and EIGP and the moderating influence of cultural perspectives (Western and local) on these effects.

## Assessment of common method variance

First, Harman's single factor analysis was applied in order to test common method variance. A significant level of common method variance is detected when the first factor's variance is greater than 50% of the total variance (Podsakoff, MacKenzie, Lee, & Podsakoff, 2003). Our results depicted four factors established at eigenvalues above 1.0, while the overall variance explained by the first factor was less than 50%. Second, based on Kock's (2015) suggestion, we tested for common method bias using the full collinearity assessment method. The results revealed that the values of all VIFs are less than 3.33, and thus common method bias was not present. Finally, in order to reduce data bias, the authors randomly arranged the order of the different question sections before the questionnaires were provided to respondents. We can therefore conclude that common method variance did not have serious implications for these findings.

#### Measurement

To measure EIGP, we developed three items based on the scale used by Janssen and Yperen (2004). This scale is widely used in the HRM literature to measure employee performance in general and may be extended into particular domains, for example extending it into the green context. We also employed seven items from Raineri and Paillé (2016) to evaluate OCBE. In fact, other publications in sustainable tourism management, such as Pham et al. (2019a) and Pham et al. (2020), have also applied these items to measure this factor. With respect to HRM practices aimed at motivating employees, on the basis of our case study findings and previous studies (Jabbour et al., 2010; Masri & Jaaron, 2017), items relating to both REW and PEM were adopted. Table 1 illustrates all items adopted in this study.

Tables 1&2 indicate acceptable reliability, as all values of Cronbach's alpha (CrA) and Composite reliability (CR) are better than the benchmark of 0.7 (Hair et al., 2014). The results

also suggest reasonable convergent validity, since all Average Variance Extracted (AVE) values exceed 0.5 and all factor loading values are higher than the threshold level of 0.7 (Hair et al., 2014). Finally, to test discriminant validity, the study considered the Fornell-Larcker criterion and the Heterotrait-Monotrait ratio (HTMT). As shown in Table 2, the square root of the AVE of each construct, ranging from 0.745 to 0.891, must be greater than all correlation values with any other construct (Fornell & Larcker, 1981). In addition, Table 2 shows all HTMT ratios, between 0.574 and 0.766, are less than the threshold of 0.9 (Ringle et al., 2020). Thus, we can conclude that there was an adequate level of discriminant validity for this study.

#### INSERT TABLE 1&2 ABOUT HERE

### **Findings**

### Direct effects

As illustrated in Table 3, the results of bootstrap analysis (the 95% confidence intervals with bootstrapping 5000 samples) indicate that all path coefficients suggest significant effects of REW and PEM on EIGP and OCBE. Specifically, REW (b = 0.420, p < 0.05) and PEM (b = 0.342, p < 0.05) have positive and significant influences on EIGP, indicating that H1a and H1b are accepted. Similarly, there are positive and significant connections between REW and OCBE (b = 0.448, p < 0.05) and between PEM and OCBE (b = 0.274, p < 0.05), indicating that hypotheses H1c and H1d are supported.

#### **INSERT TABLE 3 ABOUT HERE**

# Indirect effects

By analyzing bootstrap with 5000 samples and 95% conference intervals, Table 4 shows a significant and indirect effect of REW on EIGP via the mediating role of OCBE (b = 0.183, p

< 0.05). Furthermore, the indirect effect of PEM on EIGP via OCBE is confirmed at a 95% confidence interval (b = 0.300, p < 0.05). Thus, hypotheses H1e and H1f are accepted.

### **INSERT TABLE 4 ABOUT HERE**

### Moderation analysis

In terms of the moderating effects, Table 5 shows the important role of the interaction between culture and REW in enhancing two dependent variables. Specifically, the interaction of both cultural perspectives and REW significantly impacts EIGP (b = 0.551, p < 0.05) and OCBE (b = 0.397, p < 0.05). By contrast, there are no significant influences arising from the interaction between culture and PEM on EIGP (b = 0.022, p > 0.05) and OCBE (b = 0.038, p > 0.05).

Further analysis involved conditional effect assessment of the moderator factors, with the aim of deeply analyzing the interactive influences of culture and REW on the two dependent variables. Based on the data shown in Table 6, REW significantly influences EIGP and OCBE at both local- and Western-managed hotels. However, on the basis of coefficient values and the range of confidence intervals, it can be suggested that the size of REW's influence on EIGP at Western-managed hotels (b = 0.936, p < 0.05) is higher than at local hotels (b = 0.386, p < 0.05). Similarly, REW's influence on OCBE at Western hotels (b = 0.656, p < 0.05) is greater than at local hotels (b = 0.259, p < 0.05). This is visualized clearly in the positive slopes shown in Fig. 2a & 2b, where the slopes of the broken lines (Western hotels) are greater than the slopes of the solid lines (local hotels) in each figure. Thus, the results of this analysis suggest support for hypotheses H2a and H2c, whereas H2b and H2d are rejected.

INSERT FIGURE 2a AND 2b ABOUT HERE

**INSERT TABLE 5&6 ABOUT HERE** 

#### **Discussion**

### Theoretical implications

Embracing practices aimed at motivating employees to develop EIGP and OCBE

Underlining the theories of AMO and person-organization fit, we argue that GHRM practices, such as REW and PEM, may play a critical role in enhancing EIGP. EIGP can be given an important role in pursuing organizations' environmental sustainability strategies, but the absence of EIGP-related hospitality studies in the past represents a necessity for scholars. Therefore, our investigation of the connections between green practices and EIGP offers empirical evidence to contribute to the existing literature on GHRM and hospitality and tourism management. The findings of this work confirm the significant influence of REW and PEM on EIGP, in support of both AMO and person-organization fit theories. This is because, when hotels pay attention to green policies linked to both monetary and non-monetary rewards and communicate this to employees, employees are more likely to understand their hotel's green values and culture and actively participate in green activities. Thus, this policy can motivate employees' sense of responsibility to fulfill the environmental tasks included in their job description.

Similarly, developing a performance management system to monitor the green activities of hotel employees (e.g. employees receive environmental feedback from hotel managers or guests) helps employees understand how to avoid mistakes when performing green tasks at their hotel, consequently improving EIGP. These findings are important for the theoretical aspect of bridging this gap in the literature, because although the GHRM-EIGP connection has been suggested by Ren et al. (2018), published papers have not yet empirically demonstrated this relationship by extending these two theories in the green context, especially in the hospitality sector.

This work highlights the two perspectives of social exchange and person-organization fit as the ideal theoretical underpinnings to predict the effects of REW and PEM on OCBE. However, these relationships have been the subject of considerable scholarly debate. Some scholars argue that extra-role behaviors (e.g. OCBE) may not be recognized by the reward system and that real work-related pressures may not allow employees to engage in such behaviors voluntarily. Despite this argument, the results indicate the statistically significant effects of such practices on OCBE, in support of these two theories. This emphasizes the importance of applying green policies in hotels such as REW and PEM to motivate employees, encouraging employees to willingly involve themselves in environmental activities and events. Thus, if a hotel intends to implement reward policies for employees with high green performance along with a system for monitoring environmental tasks performed by employees, this encourages employees to reciprocally support their hotel through green behavior and attitude.

We argue that employees may perceive benefits from such policies implemented by the hotel, as these practices help to align their behavior with the hotel's green goals and stimulate commitment to the environment. Consequently, they may wish to reciprocate by voluntarily changing their environmental behaviors in the workplace; for example, they may be willing to provide green suggestions to protect the environment more effectively. This result is supported by previous studies, such as Saeed et al. (2018), who indicate that a strong green reward policy is critical to enhancing pro-environmental behavior among employees, and Pinzone et al. (2016), who suggest that PEM is a significant predictor to boost OCBE. Thus, these findings are in line with the existing literature, and demonstrate that these two theories can be extended to investigate these relationships in the hospitality context. Additionally, we contribute to the current literature on GHRM and hospitality and tourism management by providing empirical

evidence that although OCBE is not rewarded and monitored by the organization, this behavior can be predicted by REW and PEM policies.

# The mediating role of OCBE

One controversial topic in this area is whether employees' voluntary behavior (e.g. OCB) may boost in-role performance. Scholars have argued that such behavior is not rewarded and monitored by firms, and hence that it is irrational to assume that there is a relationship between these two variables. Based on AMO theory, however, individual performance can be considered as a function of motivation and effort (e.g. OCB), which may be advanced by HRM practices (Jiang et al., 2012a). This suggests that HRM practices such as REW and PEM may potentially enhance EIGP via the mediating role of OCBE. We argue that this expectation must be considered by hotels, as such practices may help employees to gain green knowledge, avoid unwanted environmental mistakes and boost their commitment to the environment (Pham et al., 2019b). Consequently, this may stimulate employees' efforts and behaviors to willingly participate in green tasks and events at hotels. In turn, this can increase the responsibility they feel to fulfill environmental tasks required in their job description. From the results of our analysis, the findings support this argument and are in line with AMO theory. Thus, our study reveals the significant indirect effects of REW and PEM on EIGP through the mediation of OCBE, thus making an important contribution to the literature, and emphasizing this finding as a new perspective in investigating the role of GHRM application in hotels.

So far, this stream of research has generally been overlooked by scholars in GHRM as well as hospitality and tourism management. In fact, there are prior sudies which focus on the GHRM-OCBE relationship (Saeed et al., 2018) and the GHRM-EIGP relationship (Dumont et al., 2017). Nevertheless, the mediating role of OCBE in separate influences on GHRM practices and EIGP – especially REW and PEM – remains a gap in the literature, especially in

the context of sustainable tourism. Accordingly, this paper offers an essential piece of evidence to fill this research gap and provides insight for scholars to reflect on the importance of OCBE in enhancing employees' green effectiveness in their roles. Also, these findings contribute to a clear understanding of the role of discretionary behavior in stimulating not just individuals' extra-role performance, but also in-role performance.

The role of cultural perspectives and unexpected findings

The country of origin and the national culture of a parent company (or headquarters) is likely to influence the operational and managerial processes and decisions of subsidiaries (Lau & Ngo, 2001). As a consequence, we would expect that in the hotel sector, not only green practices, but also green beliefs and values, would be transferred from headquarters to overseas subsidiaries, thus affecting hotel employees' green activities, behavior and performance. In this paper, we attempt to understand the moderating role of culture (operationalized as Western and local) in the connections between green practices aimed at motivating employees (REW and PEM), EIGP and OCBE. This is consistent with calls for papers to investigate the contribution of cultural influences to GHRM application (Pham et al., 2019a; Ren et al., 2018). This stream of research has been under-researched in GHRM, and in particular, in the hospitality and tourism sectors. Thus, these findings make an essential contribution to the existing literature by bridging this research gap.

Our results indicate that culture significantly moderates the effect of REW on EIGP and OCBE at hotels. More specifically, these influences are found to be stronger at Westernmanaged hotels than at locally managed hotels. It is suggested that the hotels' development of green policies to reward employees (e.g. bonuses, cash, recognition from organizations) is important to motivate employees' OCBE and generate EIGP. Thus, it is clear that reward

policies have a significant effect on EIGP and OCBE at both local and Western hotels. However, this effect seems to be stronger at hotels managed by Western companies.

A likely explanation for this effect may be that employees working at Western hotels are largely impacted by the green beliefs and values of top management and Western-based corporations. Furthermore, HRM practices that make interventions at the individual level (e.g. employee rewards) are considered more appropriate in Western enterprises as their culture tends to be more individualistic (Hoang et al., 2018). Consequently, at such hotels, policies aimed at rewarding employees who demonstrate effective green skills, strongly and positively boosts their pro-environmental behavior and green performance. Hotels managed by Western companies operating in Vietnam tend to be concerned with the role of employees in developing their professional green strategy. Environmental policies (e.g. rewards) aimed at boosting employees' green behaviors, especially voluntary green behavior, are valued by these hotels' top management (Pham et al., 2019a); for example, non-monetary rewards (e.g. recognition from managers), which may stimulate employees' willingness to become involved in green activities. Additionally, these companies often exhibit top-down consistency in applying green policies to ensure that all employees understand and embrace the hotel's green values and culture. This is paramount for the effective implementation of green strategies. Locallymanaged hotels that do not attempt to replicate Western hotels' professional green image seem to lack interest in this strategy often due to budgetary and people issues.

Contrary to expectations, the study found that culture does not moderate PEM's impact on EIGP and OCBE. We found no differences between the two types of hotel management in terms of the role of policies aimed at monitoring employees' green activities in boosting OCBE and EIGP. It is somewhat surprising that the effects of PEM on EIGP and OCBE in hotels managed by local companies are not higher than in those managed by Western companies. Employees working at local hotels are affected by local management, which tends to be more

collectivistic than the management style at Western hotels, as a result, policies such as PEM are important in enhancing employees' voluntary green behavior and green effectiveness.

A possible explanation for such results might be that although employees work at Western hotels, they are Vietnamese and living in Vietnam, and hence are still largely affected by the local culture. Besides, after many years of operating in Vietnam, some of the Western hotels might have become more similar to local hotels due to influences from the environmental and institutional contexts. This argument is in line with the institutional perspective (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983), which suggests that organizations and individuals may be shaped by the social environment in which they exist. On the other hand, employees who work at hotels managed by local companies are likely located in major cities, such as Ho Chi Minh City/Hanoi, where the cultural exchange between Western and Vietnamese cultures is strong. Therefore, to a certain extent, Western culture will also have affected these employees. As a consequence, we may not see differences in the effects of PEM on EIGP and OCBE between these two types of hotels. Thus, by adopting the cultural lens, these findings provide an insight into Hofstede's (1983) argument, which emphasizes that organizational culture may be impacted or shaped by national culture.

Taken together, our findings shed light on the role of culture in explaining the importance of green practices in predicting employees' green behavior in the hospitality sector. Results indicate that culture moderates the effect of REW on EIGP and OCBE, but not the impact of PEM on EIGP and OCBE. We believe it is important to examine the intersection between green rewards, green performance management and culture as they shed light on the impact of the wider context on the GHRM system and its meaning to individuals (Ren et al., 2018). By and large, HRM practices are not all the same, and their degrees of transfer between headquarters and subsidiaries might differ (Björkman & Lervik, 2007; Myloni, Harzing, & Mirza, 2004). Western-managed hotels are likely to be aligned with the cultural norms of their parent

organizations which have already had to adjust to environmental-related norms for competition and survival (Martinez-Martinez, Cegarra-Navarro, Garcia-Perez, & Wensley, 2019). This impact was observed for green rewards but not for green performance management. A potential explanation for this difference may be that PEM is a construct that is much more affected by the role of local line management and the local culture – in the form of appraisals and feedback for example. Whilst the culture moderator addresses the potential influence of top management and headquarters on individuals (which is observed on compensation as rewards provided by the hotel), PEM is operationalized and conceptualized with a focus on the local context (which has similar dynamics for Western-managed and locally-managed hotels). This is in line with previous research in that MNC subsidiaries adapt to local conditions up to a certain extent, depending on the nature of the HRM policy or practice. While the transferability of compensation practices (green rewards) may be implemented when in alignment with government legislation, performance practices are highly dependent on (local) cultural norms and tend to be integrated as part of a wider HR system (Verburg, Drenth, Koopman, Muijen, & Wang, 1999).

Through these results, this paper supports efforts to widen national cultural perspectives in the GHRM field, which have previously been neglected. These findings offer the potential to inform future works on cultural perspective, especially in exploring their role in the application of GHRM, such as reward policies in organizations. Additionally, it may be argued that although culture does not moderate the connections of PEM with EIGP and OCBE, this result suggests that the cultural or institutional approaches may help explain such links in further studies.

## Practical implications

From the results analyzed here, environmental action for sustainability may bring about positive green behavior, consequently enhancing EIGP. The first implication is the need to apply both REW and PEM policies in order to develop sustainability at hotels. Therefore, policies regarding both monetary (e.g. bonuses, cash) and non-monetary (e.g. gifts, promotion) rewards need to be considered by hotel management, especially for hotels managed by Western hospitality corporations. Rewards may be focused on encouraging employees to provide green suggestions for innovative green performance. Additionally, managers should concentrate on public recognition for employees with good environmental effectiveness. Similarly, PEM policy helps to boost employees' pro-environmental behavior, subsequently advancing their environmental effectiveness. Thus, setting up a green performance management system is important for hotels. For instance, hotels may carefully assess employees' environmental targets and results and set up a department for receiving feedback from guests or managers.

Second, the findings show that OCBE is an important mediating element in indirectly enhancing EIGP, suggesting that improving OCBE must be considered by hotels' top management. Thus, understanding how employees can actively involve themselves in green activities allows for a better result in enhancing employees' green performance. When employees have a tendency towards environmental protection at work, this helps them easily absorb their hotel's green values, mission and culture. Accordingly, green policies, such as REW and PEM, may strongly boost employees' positive behavior towards the environment, which in turn can help to achieve the required green targets and goals. With hotels, it is important to inspire employees to provide green suggestions for protecting the environment, to volunteer for environmental projects or activities, and to encourage their colleagues to adopt green behaviors in the workplace. These implications are necessary not only for achieving

green performance for employees and hotels, but also for spreading green behavior and social values to communities where employees work and live.

Finally, one major suggestion for hotel managers is that a focus on cultural values could be an effective way of developing their hotel's green strategy. As mentioned in the findings section, although the reward policy in hotels managed by local companies can be an important component to predict employees' green behavior, such hotels need to place more emphasis on this policy. Thus, these hotels should guarantee top-down consistency in terms of using environmental resources and implementing environment-oriented policies, such as a reward system. Moreover, employees and managers should be encouraged to share their green experiences with others. This is essential to ensure that not only people working at present, but also employees recruited in the future, clearly understand the hotel's green values and culture.

### Limitations and suggestions for further research

Although this work contributes significantly to the existing literature, it nevertheless contains several limitations that may suggest pathways for future investigations. First, while the authors expect that cultural perspectives can be applied to explain the moderating role of different cultures (Western and local) regarding the influence of REW and PEM on EIGP and OCBE, the results here indicate different outcomes between these two green practices. This may be an interesting area for further studies to examine; for example, a qualitative study, aimed at explaining why cultural differences do not moderate PEM's effects on EIGP and OCBE, leading to a result which was contradictory to our expectations. As mentioned above, the institutional perspective may be considered for exploring this area.

Second, following AMO theory, along with practices for motivating employees (e.g. REW and PEM), practices aimed at developing green ability (e.g. green training) and providing green opportunities (e.g. employee involvement) are two of the three core components of GHRM

(Renwick et al., 2013), and have not been the focus of this paper. For instance, green training may develop employees' environmental knowledge (Jabbour et al., 2010), which can help them understand how to avoid behaviors and activities which negatively impact the environment as well as drive hotels' environmental sustainability and business performance (Martinez-Martinez, Cegarra Navarro, García-Pérez, & Moreno-Ponce, 2019; Martinez-Martinez, Cegarra-Navarro, & García-Pérez, 2015) Thus, a study to investigate the contributions of GHRM practices (e.g. green training, employee involvement) towards EIGP and hotel's success is suggested for further investigation.

Third, this paper tests these hypotheses via data collected within Vietnam. Employees working in organizations within different national cultures can differ in the ways they perceive emotions (Matsumoto, 1989), and thus an examination of these relationships and the moderating role of culture within different countries or between countries is likely to yield new insights. Fourth, all constructs in this study are based on self-assessment. One of the disadvantages of the self-assessment method is a low level of objectivity. Therefore, future studies may use more objective methods for data collection. For instance, EIGP can be evaluated by supervisors or department managers. Finally, it is important to recognize that any survey in sustainability-related topics can face the challenge of social desirability bias in data collection (Roxas & Lindsay, 2012).

#### **Conclusion**

By employing quantitative data, this paper theoretically argues and empirically tests the influences of REW and PEM on EIGP and OCBE, the mediating role of OCBE, and the role of cultural perspectives (Western and local) in these connections. The results show evidence which supports investing in hotels' green policies to advance EIGP and OCBE. OCBE also plays a mediating role in the effects of REW and PEM on EIGP. Importantly, we indicate that

REW's effects on EIGP and OCBE at hotels managed by Western corporations are significantly stronger than in hotels managed by local companies. By contrast, connections between PEM, EIGP and OCBE do not depend on culture.

# References

- Alonso-Almeida, M.M., Robin, C.F., Pedroche, M.S.C., & Astorga, P.S. (2017). Revisiting green practices in the hotel industry: A comparison between mature and emerging destinations. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *140*, 1415–1428.
- Appelbaum, E.R., Bailey, T., Berg, P., & Kalleberg, A.L. (2000). *Manufacturing advantage:*Why high-performance work systems pay off. New York: Cornell University Press.
- Björkman, I., & Lervik, J.E. (2007). Transferring HR practices within multinational corporations. *Human Resource Management Journal*, *17*(4), 320-335.
- Boiral, O. (2009). Greening the corporation through organizational citizenship behaviors. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 87(2), 221–236.
- Bommer, W.H., Dierdorff, E.C., & Rubin, R.S. (2007). Does prevalence mitigate relevance?

  The moderating effect of group-level OCB on employee performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, *50*(6), 1481-1494.
- Chan, E.S., & Hawkins, R. (2012). Application of EMSs in a hotel context: A case study. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 31(2), 405-418.
- DiMaggio, P.J., & Powell, W.W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review*, 147-160.
- Dumont, J., Shen, J., & Deng, X. (2017). Effects of green HRM practices on employee workplace green behavior: The role of psychological green climate and employee green values. *Human Resource Management*, *56*(4), 613-627.

- Dyer, L., & Reeves, T. (1995). Human resource strategies and firm performance: What do we know and where do we need to go? *International Journal of Human Resource*Management, 6(3), 656–670.
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D.F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, *18*(1), 39-50.
- Govindarajulu, N., & Daily, B.F. (2004). Motivating employees for environmental improvement. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, *104*(3), 364–372.
- Guerci, M., Longoni, A., & Luzzini, D. (2016). Translating stakeholder pressures into environmental performance the mediating role of green HRM practices. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 27(2), 262–289.
- Hair, J.F, Hult, G.T.M., Ringle, C., & Sarstedt, M. (2014). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Hair, J.F., Ringle, C.M., & Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet. *Journal of Marketing theory and Practice*, 19(2), 139-152.
- Hoang, H.T., Rao Hill, S., Lu, V.N., & Freeman, S. (2018). Drivers of service climate: an emerging market perspective. *Journal of Services Marketing*, *32*(4), 476–492.
- Hoffman, B.J., & Woehr, D.J. (2006). A quantitative review of the relationship between person–organization fit and behavioral outcomes. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 68(3), 389-399.
- Hofstede, G. (1983). The cultural relativity of organizational practices and theories. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 14(2), 75-89.
- Jabbour, C.J.C., Santos, F.C.A., & Nagano, M.S. (2010). Contributions of HRM throughout the stages of environmental management: Methodological triangulation applied to companies in Brazil. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 21(7), 1049–1089.

- Janssen, O., & Yperen, N.W. (2004). Employees' Goal Orientations, the Quality of Leader-Member Exchange, and the Outcomes of Job Performance and Job Satisfaction. *Academy of Management Journal*, 47(3), 368–384.
- Jiang, K., Lepak, D.P., Han, K., Hong, Y., Kim, A., & Winkler, A.L. (2012a). Clarifying the construct of human resource systems: Relating human resource management to employee performance. *Human Resource Management Review*, 22(2), 73–85.
- Jiang, K., Lepak, D.P., Hu, J., & Baer, J.C. (2012b). How does human resource management influence organizational outcomes? A meta-analytic investigation of mediating mechanisms. *Academy of Management Journal*, *55*(6), 1264–1294.
- Johns, G. (2006). The essential impact of context on organizational behavior. *Academy of Management Review*, 31(2), 386-408.
- Kehoe, R.R., & Wright, P.M. (2013). The impact of high-performance human resource practices on employees' attitudes and behaviors. *Journal of Management*, 39(2), 366–391.
- Kock, N. (2015). Common method bias in PLS-SEM: A full collinearity assessment approach. International Journal of e-Collaboration (Vol. 11). https://doi.org/10.4018/ijec.2015100101
- Lau, C.M., & Ngo, H.Y. (2001). Organization development and firm performance: A comparison of multinational and local firms. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 32(1), 95–114.
- Lauver, K.J., & Kristof-Brown, A. (2001). Distinguishing between employees' perceptions of person–job and person–organization fit. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, *59*(3), 454-470.
- Luu, T.T. (2019). Green human resource practices and organizational citizenship behavior for the environment: the roles of collective green crafting and environmentally specific

- servant leadership. Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 1-30.
- Martínez-Martínez, A., Cegarra-Navarro, J.G., & García-Pérez, A. (2015). Environmental knowledge management: A long-term enabler of tourism development. *Tourism Management*, 50, 281-291.
- Martinez-Martinez, A., Cegarra Navarro, J.G., García-Pérez, A., & Moreno-Ponce, A. (2019). Environmental knowledge strategy: driving success of the hospitality industry.

  \*Management Research Review. DOI: 10.1108/MRR-02-2018-009.
- Martinez-Martinez, A., Cegarra-Navarro, J.G., Garcia-Perez, A., & Wensley, A. (2019).

  Knowledge agents as drivers of environmental sustainability and business performance in the hospitality sector. *Tourism Management*, 70, 381-389.
- Masri, H.A., & Jaaron, A.A.M. (2017). Assessing green human resources management practices in Palestinian manufacturing context: An empirical study. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 143, 474–489.
- Matsumoto, D. (1989). Cultural influences on the perception of emotion. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 20(1), 92–105.
- Molina-Azorín, J.F., Claver-Cortés, E., Pereira-Moliner, J., & Tarí, J.J. (2009).

  Environmental practices and firm performance: an empirical analysis in the Spanish hotel industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *17*(5), 516–524.
- Myloni, B., Harzing, A.W., & Mirza, H. (2004). Human resource management in Greece: have the colours of culture faded away?. *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management*, 4(1), 59-76.
- Ogbonnaya, C., & Valizade, D. (2018). High performance work practices, employee outcomes and organizational performance: a 2-1-2 multilevel mediation analysis. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 29(2), 239–259.
- Organ, D.W. (1988). Organizational citizenship behavior: The good soldier syndrome. MA:

Lexington Books.

- Paillé, P., Boiral, O., & Chen, Y. (2013). Linking environmental management practices and organizational citizenship behaviour for the environment: A social exchange perspective. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 24(18), 3552–3575.
- Paillé, P., & Meija-Morelos, J.H. (2019). Organisational support is not always enough to encourage employee environmental performance. The moderating role of exchange ideology. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 220, 1061–1070.
- Pham, N.T., Tučková, Z., & Jabbour, C.J.C. (2019a). Greening the hospitality industry: How do green human resource management practices influence organizational citizenship behavior in hotels? A mixed-methods study. *Tourism Management*, 72, 386–399.
- Pham, N.T., Tučková, Z., & Phan, Q.P.T. (2019b). Greening human resource management and employee commitment toward the environment: An interaction model. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, 20(3), 446–465.
- Pham, N.T., Vo-Thanh, T., Shahbaz, M., Huynh, T.L.D., & Usman, M. (2020). Managing environmental challenges: Training as a solution to improve employee green performance. *Journal of Environmental Management*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110781
- Pinzone, M., Guerci, M., Lettieri, E., & Redman, T. (2016). Progressing in the change journey towards sustainability in healthcare: The role of "green" HRM. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *122*, 201–211.
- Podsakoff, P.M., MacKenzie, S.B., Lee, J.Y., & Podsakoff, N.P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5), 879-903.
- Raineri, N., & Paillé, P. (2016). Linking corporate policy and supervisory support with

- environmental citizenship behaviors: the role of employee environmental beliefs and commitment. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *137*(1), 129–148.
- Ren, S., Tang, G., & Jackson, S.E. (2018). Green human resource management research in emergence: A review and future directions. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, *35*(3), 769–803.
- Renwick, D.W.S., Redman, T., & Maguire, S. (2013). Green human resource management: a review and research agenda\*. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 15(1), 1–14.
- Ringle, C.M., Sarstedt, M., Mitchell, R., & Gudergan, S.P. (2020). Partial least squares structural equation modeling in HRM research. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 31(12), 1617-1643.
- Roxas, B., & Lindsay, V. (2012). Social desirability bias in survey research on sustainable development in small firms: an exploratory analysis of survey mode effect. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 21(4), 223–235.
- Ryan, C. (2018). Future trends in tourism research looking back to look forward: The future of 'Tourism Management Perspectives.' *Tourism Management Perspectives*, 25, 196–199.
- Saeed, B.B., Afsar, B., Hafeez, S., Khan, I., Tahir, M., & Afridi, M.A. (2018). Promoting employee's proenvironmental behavior through green human resource management practices. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*. https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.1694
- Schnake, M., & Dumler, M.P. (1997). Organizational citizenship behavior: The impact of rewards and reward practices. *Journal of Managerial Issues*, 216-229.
- Tritto, A. (2020). Environmental management practices in hotels at world heritage sites. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2020.1771566

- Verburg, R.M., Drenth, P.J., Koopman, P.L., Muijen, J.J.V., & Wang, Z.M. (1999).
   Managing human resources across cultures: a comparative analysis of practices in industrial enterprises in China and The Netherlands. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 10(3), 391-410.
- Witt, M.A., & Stahl, G.K. (2016). Foundations of responsible leadership: asian versus western executive responsibility orientations toward key stakeholders. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *136*(3), 623–638.
- Zhang, Y., Luo, Y., Zhang, X., & Zhao, J. (2019). How green human resource management can promote green employee behavior in China: a technology acceptance model perspective. *Sustainability*, 11(19), 5408.
- Zientara, P., & Zamojska, A. (2018). Green organizational climates and employee proenvironmental behaviour in the hotel industry. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, *26*(7), 1142-1159.